

0-A084 979

TEXAS A AND M UNIV COLLEGE STATION DEPT OF CHEMISTRY
APPROACHES TO MACROCYCLIC POLYSTANNANES. (U)

F/G 7/3

MAY 80 M NEWCOMB, A R COURTNEY, R A DENIS
UNCLASSIFIED TR-1

N00014-79-C-0584
NL

[00]
A0A
[000000]


END

DATE

FILED

6-80

DTIC



LEVEL 11

(12)

OFFICE OF NAVAL RESEARCH

Contract N00014-79-C-0584

Task No. NR 053-714

TECHNICAL REPORT NO. 1

ADA 084979

Approaches to Macrocyclic Polystannanes

by

Martin Newcomb, Arleen R. Courtney, Richard A. Denis

Department of Chemistry
Texas A&M University
College Station, Texas 77843

DTIC
ELECTE
JUN 3 1980

Reproduction in whole or in part is permitted for
any purpose of the United States Government.

A

*This document has been approved for public release
and sale; its distribution is unlimited.

DDC FILE COPY,

80 6 2 068

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE (When Data Entered)

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE		READ INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING FORM
1. REPORT NUMBER Technical Report 1	2. GOVT ACCESSION NO. ADA084979	3. RECIPIENT'S CATALOG NUMBER (14) TR-1
4. TITLE (and Subtitle) (6) Approaches to Macrocyclic Polystannanes		5. TYPE OF REPORT & PERIOD COVERED (9) Technical Report
7. AUTHOR(s) 10 Martin/Newcomb, Arleen R./Courtney Richard A./Denis		6. PERFORMING ORG. REPORT NUMBER
9. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME AND ADDRESS Texas A&M University Department of Chemistry College Station, TX 77843		8. CONTRACT OR GRANT NUMBER(s) (15) N00014-79-C-0584
11. CONTROLLING OFFICE NAME AND ADDRESS Office of Naval Research Department of the Navy Arlington, Virginia 22217		10. PROGRAM ELEMENT, PROJECT, TASK AREA & WORK UNIT NUMBERS NR 053-714
14. MONITORING AGENCY NAME & ADDRESS (if different from Controlling Office)		12. REPORT DATE (11) 28 May 28, 1980
		13. NUMBER OF PAGES 34 (1-36)
		15. SECURITY CLASS. (of this Report) Unclassified
		16. DECLASSIFICATION/DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE
16. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of this Report) Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.		
17. DISTRIBUTION STATEMENT (of the abstract entered in Block 20, if different from Report)		Accession For DTIC DND TAB Unannounced Justification
18. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES		By Date Classification
19. KEY WORDS (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) Polystannanes Macrocycles		Dist A
20. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse side if necessary and identify by block number) This report details progress towards the synthesis of macrocyclic polystannanes. Two classes of compounds containing either diphenyltin or dimethyltin atoms are being prepared. The general approach involves building the macrocycles through a series of reactions which will permit modifications in size or functional groups. The initial targets are macrocycles containing four tin atoms.		

407997


Inc

Approaches to Macrocyclic Polystannanes



Although there are numerous applications of neutral, basic macrocyclic compounds as cation-complexing agents, there are no reports of the application of neutral, acidic macrocycles as anion complexing agents. This work is directed at developing techniques for the synthesis of macrocyclic polystannanes and the application of these compounds as anion complexing species. The approach we have taken to this problem involves building the macrocycles in a series of reaction by methods which will allow size and functional group modifications of the macrocycles. The initial targets are macrocycles containing four diphenyltin or dimethyltin atoms.

Progress Towards Macrocycles Containing Diphenyltins


Our effort directed toward the synthesis of phenyl-containing macrocyclic polystannanes started with the synthesis of 1,4-bis(triphenylstannyl)butane (**1**) which is analogous to the known 1,4-bis(trimethylstannyl)butane.¹ In a nucleophilic displacement reaction, triphenylstannyllithium (from triphenyltin chloride and lithium) reacted with 1,4-dibromobutane to give **1** in 82% yield.




The production of **1** by the "anionic" route is certainly acceptable, but we explored other methods of forming **1** as model reactions for later steps in the synthesis. Compound **1** may also be produced in a hydrostannation reaction since triphenyltin hydride has been shown to add to triphenylvinyl tin to give 1,2-bis(triphenylstannyl)ethane (eq. 1)² and triphenyl-3-propenyltin to give 1,3-bis(triphenylstannyl)propane (eq. 2) although in relatively low yield.³ Experimentally, we found that 4-triphenylstannyl-1-butene (**2**) failed to react with triphenyltin hydride at 80 °C in 27 h.




However, when azobisisobutyronitrile (AIBN) was added as a catalyst, the reaction proceeded smoothly to give **1** in quantitative yield in 60 h at 90 °C.



The next step in our sequence required the monofunctionalization of each of the tin atoms in **1** to give the dibromide **3**. Again the analogous




1,4-bis(bromodimethylstannyl)butane has been made by treatment of 1,4-bis(trimethylstannyl)butane with bromine in carbon tetrachloride (eq. 3).¹



We have found that, unlike the methyl case, the phenyl groups bonded to tin are so reactive that several bromination reactions do not give monobromo tin species but proceed readily to produce dibromo substituted tins.

Model studies for the conversion of $\underset{\sim}{1}$ to $\underset{\sim}{3}$ employed the known n-butyltriphenyltin ($\underset{\sim}{4}$) which is readily available by several routes in high yield. In the preparation of $\underset{\sim}{4}$ we investigated a deprotonation route to triphenylstannyl lithium which is patterned after the procedure recently reported by Still for conversion of tri-n-butyltin hydride to tri-n-butylstannyl lithium by treatment with lithium diisopropylamide (LDA).⁴ When triphenyltin hydride (produced in 75% yield from triphenyltin chloride by lithium aluminum hydride reduction) was treated with LDA under Still's conditions and the reaction mixture was subsequently treated with

1-chlorobutane, the desired product 4 was obtained in 90% yield. For comparison, triphenylstannyl lithium prepared directly from triphenyltin chloride reacted with 1-chlorobutane to give 4 in comparable (83%) yield,




but purification of the product was much easier in the former reaction. A third preparation of 4, from the reaction of bis(triphenylstannyl)oxide with n-butyllithium was inferior.

Our initial attempts to monofunctionalize triphenylalkyltin atoms was made on the di tin compound 1. Treatment of 1 with bromine under conventional conditions¹ (-10 °C, CCl₄) gave a complicated mixture of products which was not purified. Changing the solvent to methylene chloride and lowering the reaction temperature to -78 °C failed to give better results.

We then turned to the model compound 4 and studied various bromination conditions. Treatment of 4 with bromine in carbon tetrachloride


has been reported to give monobromide 5 in 63% yield after distillation.⁵ However, our attempts to brominate 4 with bromine at temperatures as low



as -78 °C (CH₂Cl₂ solvent) led to complicated mixtures as determined by NMR spectroscopy and TLC analysis. This behavior was similar to that observed in the analogous bromination of 1.

Krause has reported that tetraphenyltin is converted to the monobromide by bromination in pyridine.⁶ When we employed these conditions for the bromination of 4, we obtained monobromide 5 in 60% yield. The active brominating agent in this reaction is probably pyridine perbromide which is used for the same type of brominations as phenyltrimethylammonium perbromide (PTAB).⁷ We found that PTAB also reacts with 4 to give 5 in 60% yield.


Use of the bromine/pyridine conditions for bromination of 1 was somewhat encouraging; the product mixture appeared to contain some of the desired product by NMR spectroscopy. However, this method was abandoned when other procedures proved to be more useful. Treatment of 1 with PTAB gave the desired 3 in 70% yield, but the product was somewhat difficult to purify from bromobenzene formed as a by-product.



Treatment of 1 with gaseous HBr at 0 °C with a short contact time gave 3 in essentially quantitative yield; since benzene is the by-product in this reaction, purification of 3 was simple.


From bis(bromodiphenylstannyl)butane (3) there are three strategies which could be employed in forming a macrocycle precursor. Nucleophilic displacement of halogen on tin with carbanionic species would permit the use of 3 directly. Conversion of 3 to a dilithio species (either directly or via the di-hydride) and subsequent treatment with an organic halide is another possibility. Finally conversion of 3 to a dihydride and reaction of this species with an olefin is possible.

In a model system reaction we found that n-butyldiphenyltin bromide (5) could be converted into the corresponding hydride (6) by reduction with lithium aluminum hydride (LAH). Subsequent deprotonation of 6 with




LDA followed by treatment with 4-bromo-1-butene gave the desired alkylated product 7 in modest yield.


Despite our success with the model system, we have as yet been unable to convert 3 to the corresponding dihydride (8) under mild LAH reaction conditions. Attempts to effect this conversion are continuing. Since bis(diphenylhydridostannyl)butane (8) was not readily available, we attempted the direct reaction of dihalide 3 with the Grignard reagent from 4-bromo-1-butene. This reaction gave the desired substitution product 9 in 77% yield.




Compound 9 may be converted to a macrocycle by two different routes. Addition of the, as yet unavailable, dihydride 8 may give a 20-membered ring macrocycle directly in a double hydrostannation reaction. Alternatively




addition of functionalizable tin atoms to each terminus of 9 is possible. For example the reaction of 9 with 2 equivalents of chlorodiphenyltin hydride could give 10 which may react with a di-Grignard reagent to give



a macrocycle. Both of these approaches have been investigated briefly in model systems. As a model for the former approach, compound 7 was treated with hydride 6 under normal hydrostannation conditions, however, we observed no reaction. As a model for the latter approach compound 7



was treated with chlorodiphenyltin hydride, prepared by the redistribution reaction between diphenyltin dichloride and tri-n-butyltin hydride.




Analysis of the product mixture was difficult in this case due to tri-n-butyltin chloride carried through from the preparation of the hydride. Work on both of the model reactions is continuing.


Progress Towards Macrocycles Containing Dimethyltins

Our efforts directed towards the synthesis of dimethylstannyl macrocycles have followed a pattern similar to that described above for the diphenylstannyl macrocycles. Primarily, we have attempted to develop stepwise syntheses of the macrocycles.

Several bis(trimethylstannyl) alkanes (11, $n = 3, 4, 5$) were prepared by known methods.¹ By reported procedures¹ compounds 11 were brominated




to give the bis(bromodimethylstannyl) alkanes (12, $n = 3,4,5$). Treatment of the compounds 12 with the mono-Grignard reagent from 1-bromo-4-chlorobutane gave the extended acycles (13) in modest yield. Compounds 13 were




somewhat difficult to purify and, we have found, also somewhat difficult to characterize.

Compounds 13 could be used directly in an attempted synthesis of a macrocycle if they were treated with a bis(stannyl lithium) alkane. We have attempted this type of reaction, but have found that we cannot readily purify products. Because of these difficulties we have developed a more circuitous but more general approach for the further embellishment of the macrocycle precursor.

Bromination of dimethyldiphenyltin gives dimethylphenyltin bromide (14) which upon treatment with lithium gives the corresponding lithium




reagent 15. Treatment of compounds 13 with reagent 15 gives tetrastanna compounds 16 in reasonable yield. Compounds 16 may be halogenated




selectively with relative ease since the tin-aryl bonds are cleaved more readily than tin-alkyl bonds. Essentially application of this synthetic sequence permits us to incorporate activated tin atoms into the macrocycle precursor. This strategy may be easily applied to the synthesis of a macrocycle which contains more than four tin atoms.

Treatment of 16 ($n = 4$) with bromine apparently gave the desired dibromide 17 in nearly quantitative yield, but complete characterization of 17 has not been accomplished. Treatment of 17 with the di-Grignard reagent from 1,4-dibromobutane appeared to give the desired macrocycle 18 which has not yet been purified or completely characterized.



An alternative approach to simple macrocyclic polystannanes employs a "shotgun" reaction which, for the tetrastanna macrocycles involves four components reacting to give the desired macrocycle. We have attempted such reactions, but the mixture of products formed makes purification and identification of products quite difficult. Thus, for example, reaction of the dilithium reagent 19 with 1,4-dibromobutane and with 1,5-dibromopentane gave mixtures which may contain the desired macrocycles but which



have not yet been completely purified.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

~~General.~~ All reactions involving organometal species were run under an inert atmosphere (nitrogen or argon) using syringe transfers. ^1H -NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian T-60 spectrometer, and ^{13}C -NMR spectra were recorded on a JEOL PFT-100 spectrometer. Unless noted all chemical shifts are reported in ppm relative to internal Me_4Si . Infrared spectra were recorded on a Perkin Elmer model 297 IR spectrophotometer. Molecular weight determinations were made on a Hewlett Packard model 302B Vapor Pressure Osmometer. Tetrahydrofuran (THF) was distilled from a purple solution of sodium-benzophenone immediately before use. The molarities of Grignard and lithium reagents were determined by quenching an aliquot with water and subsequent titration with 0.1N HCl or by direct titration of an aliquot with standardized n-butanol in xylene employing phenanthroline as an indicator.

1,3-Dibromopropane, 1,4-dibromobutane, 1,5-dibromopentane, and 1-bromo-4-chlorobutane (Aldrich) were used without further purification. Grignard grade magnesium shavings (Fisher) were used for the preparation of all Grignard reagents. The lithium wire (PCR) contained a 1% sodium impurity and was cut into segments of 1-10 mm lengths. Anhydrous stannic chloride, tetraphenyltin, and triphenyltin chloride (Alfa) were used without further purification.

~~Triphenyltin lithium.~~^{1b} A solution of 5.0 g (0.013 mol) of triphenyltin chloride dissolved in 10 mL of dry THF was added to 0.9 g (0.14 g-atom) of lithium wire suspended in 15 mL of dry THF and stirred under a nitrogen atmosphere for 8 h at room temperature. The resulting dark solution was used without further treatment.

~~Tripheyltin hydride~~ was prepared by the method of Kuivila.⁸ To a suspension of 0.4 g (10 mmol) of lithium aluminum hydride in 40 mL of anhydrous diethyl ether cooled to 0 °C in an ice bath under a nitrogen atmosphere was added 10 g (26 mmol) of triphenyltin chloride in 60 mL of anhydrous diethyl ether. After 20 min of stirring at 0 °C, the mixture was stirred for 3 h at room temperature. The mixture was slowly hydrolyzed at 0 °C with water. The layers were separated and the organic layer was washed twice with cold water. The combined aqueous layers were washed with ether. The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), the solvent was removed by evaporation, and the product was distilled from a 220 °C preheated oil bath to yield 6.9 g (76%) of triphenyltin hydride; bp 178-180 °C (1 Torr) [lit.⁸ bp 168-172 °C (0.5 Torr)]; ir (neat) 1850, 1080 cm⁻¹.

~~4-Triphenylstannyl-1-butene~~ (2). To 1.5 g (11.1 mmol) of 4-bromo-1-butene was added an equimolar amount of triphenyltin lithium in THF at room temperature. The mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature under a nitrogen atmosphere. The reaction was quenched by hydrolysis with a saturated solution of ammonium chloride. After separating the two layers, the aqueous layer was extracted twice with methylene chloride. The combined organic layers were washed with water and reduced. The white residue which remained was chromatographed on silica gel (Fisher 60 to 200 mesh) with methylene chloride elution to yield 2.1 g (47%) of 4-triphenylstannyl-1-butene; mp 94-95 °C; ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ 1.55 (t, J = 8, 2H), 2.51-2.65 (m, 2H), 4.7-5.1 (m, 2H), 5.5-6.1 (m, 1H), 7.0-7.6 (m, 15H); ir (nujol) 1625, 1075 cm⁻¹; ¹³C-NMR (CDCl₃) δ 140.9, 138.7, 137.0, 128.8, 128.4, 115.8, 30.4, 10.0.

1,4-Bis(triphenylstannyl)butane (1). A. Anion route. To 0.78 mL (6.5 mmol) of 1,4-dibromobutane dissolved in 10 mL dry THF was added a solution containing an equimolar amount of triphenyltin lithium in THF. The mixture was stirred for 4 h at room temperature. The reaction was quenched by hydrolysis with a saturated solution of ammonium chloride. After separating the two layers, the aqueous layer was extracted three times with methylene chloride. The combined organic layers were extracted with water and dried (MgSO_4), and the solvent was removed by evaporation. The residue was chromatographed on silica gel (Fisher 60 to 200 mesh) with methylene chloride elution to yield 4.91 g (81.5%) of 1,4-bis(triphenylstannyl)butane; mp 221-223 °C; $^1\text{H-NMR}$ (CDCl_3) δ 1.35-1.6 (m, 2H); 1.65-1.95 (m, 4H), 7.15-7.6 (m, 30H); ir (nujol) 1075 cm^{-1} ; $^{13}\text{C-NMR}$ (CDCl_3) δ 138.9, 137.2, 129.1, 128.5, 31.3, 10.6.

B. Hydrostannation route. Sealed into a glass tube under vacuum were 0.1 g (0.28 mmol) of triphenyltin hydride, 0.1 g (0.25 mmol) of 4-triphenylstannyl-1-butene, and 0.01 g (0.06 mmol) of azobisisobutyronitrile. The mixture was heated in a sand bath for 60 h at 95-100 °C. The product was chromatographed on silica gel (Fisher 60 to 200 mesh) by elution with methylene chloride to yield 0.2 g (100%) of 1,4-bis(triphenylstannyl)butane which was identical to material prepared by method A above.

n-Butyltriphenyltin (4). A. Anion route. To 3.6 g (38 mmol) of n-butyl chloride in 30 mL of dry THF was slowly added an equimolar quantity of triphenyltin lithium in THF. After being stirred overnight at room temperature under a nitrogen atmosphere, the reaction mixture was hydrolyzed with a saturated solution of ammonium chloride. The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer extracted three times with methylene

chloride. The combined organic layers were washed with water, dried (MgSO_4), and the solvent evaporated. The residue was chromatographed on silica gel (Fisher 60 to 200 mesh) with methylene chloride elution to yield 13.1 g (82.8%) of n-butyltriphenyltin; mp 57-58 °C (lit.⁹ mp 61-62 °C); $^1\text{H-NMR}$ (CDCl_3) δ 0.8-1.0 (m, 3H), 1.1-1.8 (m, 6H), 7.1-7.7 (m, 15H); ir (nujol) 1080 cm^{-1} ; $^{13}\text{C-NMR}$ (CDCl_3) δ 139.1, 136.3, 128.7, 128.4, 28.8, 27.3, 13.5, 10.8.

B. LDA route. A lithium diisopropylamide LDA solution was prepared by adding 3.1 mL (5 mmol) of n-butyllithium (1.6 M in hexane) to a 0 °C solution of 0.8 mL (5.71 mmol) of diisopropylamine in 10 mL of THF and stirring at 0 °C for 30 min. To this was added 1.25 mL (4.89 mmol) of triphenyltin hydride. After 30 min at 0 °C, the solution was ready for further use.

To the triphenyltin lithium mixture was added 0.52 mL (5 mmol) of n-butyl chloride at 0 °C. After warming to room temperature and stirring for 6 h, the reaction was quenched with a saturated ammonium chloride solution, the combined organic layers were washed with a saturated sodium chloride solution and dried over magnesium sulfate, and the solvent was evaporated to yield 1.8 g (90%) n-butyltriphenyltin which was identical to that prepared by method A.

C. Oxide route. A solution of 5 g (0.013 mmol) of triphenyltin chloride dissolved in 100 mL of diethyl ether was shaken with 100 mL of 5 M sodium hydroxide solution. The layers were separated, and the ether solvent evaporated to yield 4.8 g (100%) of crude triphenyltin hydroxide which was used without further purification; ir (nujol) 895 and 915 cm^{-1} .

Bis(triphenyltin)oxide was prepared by the method of Kushlefsky.¹⁰ A solution of 4.8 g (0.13 mmol) of triphenyltin hydroxide in 150 mL of toluene was heated at reflux until no more water was being distilled (approximately 3 h) in a system equipped with a Dean-Stark water trap. The hot mixture was then filtered, and the solvent was evaporated to yield 3.8 g (88.5%) of crude bis(triphenyltin)oxide which was used without further purification; ir (nujol) 776 cm^{-1} .

To 3.8 g (5 mmol) of bis(triphenyltin)oxide suspended in 25 mL of anhydrous diethyl ether was added 3.3 mL (5 mmol) of n-butyllithium in hexane. After stirring at room temperature under a nitrogen atmosphere for 4 h, the mixture was filtered to remove the white precipitate. The filtrate was evaporated to give a yellowish-white solid which was recrystallized from 95% ethanol to yield 0.9 g (44%) of n-butyltriphenyltin.

n-Butyldiphenyltin bromide (5). A. Bromine/pyridine method. To a solution of 1 g (2.5 mmol) of n-butyltriphenyltin, in 8 mL of pyridine which was chilled in an ice bath, was added a solution of 0.135 mL (2.47 mmol) of bromine in 1.8 mL of pyridine which had been chilled in a dry ice-acetone bath. The mixture was stirred 45 min at $0\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$. Approximately 3 mL of sodium thiosulfate (1 N) was added and the mixture was swirled. After the solvent was evaporated there remained a viscous liquid which was dissolved in methylene chloride. The solution was extracted with 30% sodium hydroxide and the organic layer was then swirled with 10% hydrobromic acid and washed with 1:1 saturated sodium chloride, 1 N sodium thiosulfate and dried (MgSO_4). The solvent was evaporated to yield 0.6 g (60%) of n-butyldiphenyltin bromide; $^1\text{H-NMR}$ (CDCl_3) δ 0.7-1.0 (m, 3H), 1.1-1.5 (m, 4H), 1.7-1.9 (m, 2H), 7.1-7.9 (m, 10H); ir (nujol) 1075 cm^{-1} ; $^{13}\text{C-NMR}$ (CDCl_3) δ 138.9, 135.8, 130.0, 128.9, 27.7, 26.7, 17.4, 13.5.

B. PTAB method. To 1.0 g (2.5 mmol) of n-butyltriphenyltin dissolved in 15 mL of tetrahydrofuran was added 1.0 g (2.7 mmol) of phenyltrimethylammonium perbromide dissolved in 90 mL of tetrahydrofuran. The mixture was stirred for 6 h at room temperature. The reaction mixture was washed twice with a 1:1 mixture of saturated sodium chloride and 1 N sodium thiosulfate. The organic layer was dried (MgSO_4), and the solvent was evaporated. The viscous residue was chromatographed (Fisher 60 to 200 mesh) on silica gel with elution first with hexane and then with ether to yield 0.6 g (60%) of ζ which was identical to that prepared by method A above.

1,4-Bis(bromodiphenylstannyl)butane (3). A. Bromine/carbon tetrachloride method. A solution containing 0.14 mL (2.6 mmol) of bromine in 4 mL of carbon tetrachloride was added dropwise to 1 g (1.3 mmol) of 1,4-bis(triphenylstannyl)butane dissolved in 10 mL of carbon tetrachloride with stirring at -10°C in an ice-salt bath. The mixture was stirred for 30 min after completion of the bromine addition. The solvent was evaporated leaving a viscous residue which was heated to 80°C under vacuum. The residue was chromatographed on silica gel (Fisher 60 to 200 mesh) by elution with hexane followed by ether. The ethereal solution was extracted twice with 30% sodium hydroxide solution. The resulting organic layer was stirred with aqueous hydrobromic acid and then dried (MgSO_4). The solvent was evaporated to leave a residue containing a mixture of products.

B. Bromine/pyridine method. To 1 g (1.3 mmol) of 1,4-bis(triphenylstannyl)butane dissolved in 15 mL of pyridine at 0°C was added 0.19 mL of bromine in 4 mL of pyridine which had been chilled to 0°C . The mixture was stirred for 6 h and allowed to warm to room temperature. The pyridine was evaporated and the residue was dissolved in methylene chloride. This solution was extracted with a 1:1 saturated sodium chloride, 1 N sodium thiosulfate solution and with 30% sodium hydroxide solution and then was treated with

10% hydrobromic acid. The product obtained after a conventional isolation appeared to be a mixture of compounds.

C. PTAB method. To a 0 °C solution of 1 g (2.7 mmol) of phenyltrimethylammonium perbromide in 50 mL of tetrahydrofuran was added 1 g (1.3 mmol) of 1,4-bis(triphenylstannyl)butane in 25 mL of tetrahydrofuran. The mixture was slowly warmed to room temperature and stirred for 3 h. After extraction with water, the aqueous layer was treated with brine and extracted twice with methylene chloride. The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), the solvent was evaporated, and the residue was washed with diethyl ether to yield 0.7 g (70%) of 1,4-bis(bromodiphenylstannyl)butane; ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ 0.9 (m, 8H), 7.0-7.7 (m, 20H).

D. HBr method. Into a 0 °C solution of 1.0 g (1.3 mmol) of 1,4-bis(triphenylstannyl)butane in 25 mL of methylene chloride was bubbled hydrogen bromide gas to saturate the solution. The mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 30 min, and the solvent was evaporated to yield 1.0 g (100%) of 1,4-bis(bromodiphenylstannyl)butane which was identical to that prepared by method C above.

~~Attempted preparation of 1,4-bis(diphenylhydridostannyl)butane (8).~~
To a 0 °C suspension of 0.1 g (2.6 mmol) of lithium aluminum hydride in 10 mL of tetrahydrofuran was added 0.6 g (0.79 mmol) of 1,4-bis(bromodiphenylstannyl)butane in 7 mL of tetrahydrofuran. The mixture was slowly brought to room temperature and stirred for 3 h. The mixture was hydrolyzed with 10% potassium hydroxide solution and ice water, and the organic layer was washed twice with a saturated solution of sodium chloride solution and dried (MgSO₄). No hydride absorption was present in the ir spectrum of the product mixture.

~~n-Butyldiphenyltin hydride (6).~~ To 660 mg (1.72 mmol) of lithium aluminum hydride suspended in 20 mL of anhydrous ether and cooled to 0 °C was added 0.6 g (1.46 mmol) of n-butyldiphenyltin bromide in 8 mL of ether.

After stirring at 0 °C for 15 min, the mixture was heated at reflux for 2.5 h. The reaction was quenched slowly at 0 °C with water. The organic layer was washed twice with water, and the aqueous layer was extracted twice with ether. The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄) and reduced, and the product was evaporatively distilled at 160 °C (0.1 Torr) to yield 1 g (56%) of n-butyldiphenyltin hydride; ir (neat) 1865, 1070 cm⁻¹.

n-Butyldiphenylstannyl lithium. To 0.5 mL (3.6 mmol) of diisopropylamine in 10 mL of tetrahydrofuran at 0 °C was added 1.0 mL (3.0 mmol) of n-butyllithium in hexane. After stirring at 0 °C for 30 min, 1.0 g (3.0 mmol) of n-butyldiphenyltin hydride in 3 mL of tetrahydrofuran was added. The mixture was stirred at 0 °C for an additional 30 min. This solution was used in a further reaction.

~~4-(n-Butyldiphenylstannyl)-1-butene~~ (7). To a tetrahydrofuran solution of n-butyldiphenylstannyl lithium (3.0 mmol) was added 0.32 mL (3.2 mmol) of 4-bromo-1-butene. After stirring for 20 h, the reaction was quenched with a saturated ammonium chloride solution. The aqueous layer was extracted with methylene chloride, the combined organic layers were washed with brine and dried (MgSO₄), and the solvent was evaporated. The residue was chromatographed on silica gel (Fisher 60-200 mesh) with methylene chloride elution to yield 0.6 g (54%) of 4-n-butyldiphenylstannyl-1-butene; ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ 0.6-2.0 (m, 11H), 2.1-2.5 (m, 2H), 4.7-5.2 (m, 2H), 5.5-6.3 (m, 1H), 6.9-7.7 (m, 10H).

~~1,4-Bis(4-butenyldiphenylstannyl)butane~~ (9). To 0.7 g (0.9 mmol) of 1,4-bis(bromodiphenylstannyl)butane in 10 mL of tetrahydrofuran was added 2.5 mL (1.9 mmol) of the Grignard reagent from 4-bromo-1-butene in tetrahydrofuran. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 18 h. The reaction was quenched with a saturated solution of ammonium chloride. The aqueous layer was extracted twice with methylene chloride, the combined organic

layers were dried (MgSO_4), and the solvent was evaporated to yield 0.5 g (77%) of 1,4-bis(1-butenyldiphenylstannyl)butane, $^1\text{H-NMR}$ (CDCl_3) δ 0.7-2.0 (m, 12H), 2.1-2.6 (m, 4H), 4.7-5.1 (m, 4H), 5.4-6.2 (m, 2H), 7.0-7.7 (m, 20H).

Attempted preparation of 1,4-bis(n-butyldiphenylstannyl)butane.
In a sand bath at 90 °C was heated 114 mg (0.296 mmol) of 4-n-butyldiphenylstannyl-1-butene, 100 mg (0.302 mmol) of n-butyldiphenyltin hydride and 14.9 mg (0.091 mmol) of azobisisobutyronitrile for 36 h. Ir analysis of the product mixture indicated that no reaction had occurred.

Tetramethyltin was prepared by the procedure of Edgell and Word.¹¹
Into a three-necked roundbottomed flask fitted with a condenser, pressure equalizing funnel, and overhead stirrer was placed 55.0 g (2.26 g-atom) of magnesium shavings and 600 mL of di-n-butyl ether. A solution of 225.0 g (1.59 mol) of methyl iodide (Fisher) in 150 mL of di-n-butyl ether was added over 3-3.5 h at room temperature. The mixture was stirred overnight and 35 mL (77.9 g, 0.30 mol) of stannic chloride was added dropwise over 2.5 h. The resultant mixture was stirred overnight and then heated at reflux for 1.5 h. The mixture was distilled and the fraction with the boiling range of 65-105 °C was collected. Fractional distillation of this fraction yielded 38 g (71%) of tetramethyltin; bp 76-78 °C [lit.¹¹ bp 78 °C]; $^1\text{H-NMR}$ (CDCl_3 , CH_2Cl_2 reference) δ 0.05 (s).

Trimethyltin bromide. Tetramethyltin (97.3 g, 0.54 mol) in 150 mL of CH_2Cl_2 was stirred at -78 °C and a solution of 89.0 g (0.55 mol) of bromine in 150 mL of CH_2Cl_2 was added dropwise and the solvent was removed in vacuo. The product was fractionally distilled to yield 120.5 g (91%) of trimethyltin bromide; bp 39 °C (2 Torr) [lit.¹² bp 165 °C]; $^1\text{H-NMR}$ (CDCl_3 , CHCl_3 reference) δ 0.71 (s).

1,3-Bis(trimethylstannyl)propane (11, $n = 3$). The procedure followed was similar to that of Tomborski, Ford, and Soloski.^{1b} A solution of 49.4 g (0.20 mol) of trimethyltin bromide in 150 mL of THF was added over 2 h to a cooled (-10-0 °C) stirring suspension of 6.0 g (0.86 g-atom) of lithium wire in 150 mL of THF. The reaction was stirred for 1 h, and a 0.5 mL aliquot was titrated for total base (found 0.64 M, calculated 0.67 M). The green solution was transferred by cannula into a flask maintained at 0 °C and a solution of 20.5 g (0.10 mol) of 1,3-dibromopropane in 100 mL of THF was added dropwise over 0.5 h. The mixture was warmed to room temperature and hydrolyzed with 100 mL of water. The phases were separated and the aqueous phase was extracted three times with 75 mL portions of ether. The ethereal extracts were combined, dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated in vacuo. Fractional distillation of the crude product yielded 20.7 g (55%) of product; bp 45-47 °C (0.03 Torr) [lit.^{1b} bp 60-64 °C (0.1 Torr)]; ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, CH₂Cl₂ reference) δ 0.05 (s, 18H), 0.36 (t, 4H, J = 7Hz), 1.1-2.9 (m, 2H).

1,4-Bis(trimethylstannyl)butane (11, $n = 4$). A. Trimethyltin lithium route. The procedure followed was similar to that of Tamborski, Ford, and Soloski.^{1b} A stirring suspension of 7.0 g (1.0 g-atom) of lithium wire in 150 mL of THF was cooled (0 °C) and a solution of 39.0 g (0.16 mol) of trimethyltin bromide in 200 mL of THF was added dropwise over 3.5 h. The resultant green mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 3 h, and a 0.5 mL aliquot was titrated for total base (found 0.45 M, calculated 0.45 M). The solution was transferred by cannula to a dry flask maintained at 0 °C and a solution 9.5 mL (0.08 mol) of 1,4-dibromobutane in 100 mL of THF was added over 0.5 h. The light brown mixture was gradually warmed to

room temperature, and the solvent was removed in vacuo. The crude product was washed with 50 mL of saturated aqueous ammonium chloride, and the aqueous phase was extracted twice with 50 mL portions of ether. The ethereal phases were combined, dried (MgSO_4), and concentrated in vacuo. Fractional distillation of the residue yielded 26.3 g (85%) of 1,4-bis(trimethylstannyl)butane; bp 60-66 °C (0.1 Torr) [lit.^{1b} bp 74-76 °C (0.4 Torr)]; $^1\text{H-NMR}$ (CDCl_3 , CH_2Cl_2 reference) δ 0.05 (s, 18H), 0.61-1.14 (m, 4H), 1.14-1.78 (m, 4H).

B. Di-Grignard route. The procedure followed was that by Bulten and Budding.^{1a} A solution of 30 mL (0.25 mol) of 1,4-dibromobutane in 450 mL of THF was added over 3 h to 75.0 g (3.1 g-atom) of magnesium shavings in 50 mL of THF at room temperature. The solution was stirred overnight and a 1 mL aliquot was titrated for molarity (found 0.9 M, calculated 0.99 M). The Grignard reagent, 450 mL, was transferred by cannula to a pressure equalizing funnel and added over 1 h to a solution of 60 g (0.25 mol) of trimethyltin bromide in 200 mL of THF. The reaction was heated at reflux for 3 h, allowed to stand for 2 h, and heated at reflux for an additional 2 h. The reaction mixture was treated with 150 mL of saturated aqueous ammonium chloride solution, and the phases were separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with three 100 mL portions of ether, and the ethereal phases were combined, dried (MgSO_4), and concentrated in vacuo. Fractional distillation of the residue yielded 36.7 g (79%) of 11 ($n = 4$) which was identical to the material prepared by method A above.

1,5-Bis(trimethylstannyl)pentane (11, $n = 5$). The procedure followed was similar to that of Tomborski, Ford, and Soloski.^{1b} Trimethyltin bromide 29.8 g (0.12 mol) in 50 mL of THF was added dropwise over 1.25 h to a cooled (-15-0 °C) stirring suspension of 4.5 g (0.64 g-atom) of

lithium wire in 150 mL of THF. The reaction was stirred at 0 °C for 1 h and a 0.5 mL aliquot was titrated for total base (found 0.58 M, calculated 0.61 M). The green solution was transferred to a dry flask maintained at 0 °C and a solution of 8.3 mL (0.61 mol) of 1,5-dibromopentane in 50 mL of THF was added dropwise over 0.25 h. The mixture was warmed to room temperature and treated with 100 mL of water. The layers were separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted twice with 75 mL portions of ether. The ethereal phases were combined, dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated in vacuo. Fractional distillation of the residue yielded 20 g (82%) of product; bp 88-93 °C (0.6 Torr) [lit.^{1b} bp 87-90 °C (0.6 Torr)]; ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, CH₂Cl₂ reference) δ 0.08 (s, 18H), 0.66-1.06 (t, 4H, J = 7Hz), 1.06-2.33 (m, 6H).

1,3-Bis(bromodimethylstannyl)propane (12, n = 3). A solution of 17.8 g (0.11 mol) of bromine (Fisher) in 200 mL of CH₂Cl₂ was added dropwise over 3 h to a cooled (-78 °C) stirring solution of 20.3 g (0.56 mol) of 1,3-bis(trimethylstannyl)propane. The reaction was warmed to room temperature, and the solvent was removed in vacuo to yield a crystalline product. Recrystallization from hexane yielded 23.4 g (84%) of 12 (n = 3); mp 69-70 °C; ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, CH₂Cl₂ reference) δ 0.68 (s, 12H), 1.2-1.7 (t, 4H, J = 7Hz), 1.7-2.93 (m, 2H).

1,4-Bis(bromodimethylstannyl)butane (12, n = 4) was made by a procedure similar to that of Bulten and Budding.^{1a} A solution of 74.6 g (0.19 mol) of 1,4-bis(trimethylstannyl)butane in 200 mL of CH₂Cl₂ was stirred at -78 °C and a solution of 62.5 g (0.39 mol) of bromine (Fisher) in 100 mL of CH₂Cl₂ was added dropwise over 1.5-2 h. The reaction was warmed to room temperature and the solvent was removed in vacuo to yield a crystalline product. Recrystallization from hexane yielded 98 g (98%) of 12 (n = 4); mp 76 °C

[lit.^{1a} mp 76 °C]; ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃) δ 0.73 (s, 12H), 1.26-1.56 (m, 4H), 1.56-2.0 (m, 4H).

~~1,5-Bis(bromodimethylstannyl)pentane~~ (12, n = 5). To a cooled (-78 °C) solution of 20.0 g (0.05 mol) of 1,5-bis(trimethylstannyl)pentane in 200 mL of CH₂Cl₂ was added dropwise over 1 h a solution of 16 g (0.1 mol) of bromine (Fisher) in 110 mL of CH₂Cl₂. The reaction was warmed to room temperature, and the solvent was removed in vacuo to yield a crystalline product. Recrystallization from hexane yielded 26 g (98%) of ~~12~~^{1a} (n = 5); mp 45-47 °C [lit.^{1a} mp 45-47 °C]; ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, CH₂Cl₂ reference).

~~Dimethyldiphenyltin~~. To a solution of 46.7 g (0.11 mol) of diphenyltin dibromide in 200 mL of THF was added 85 mL of 3 M CH₃MgCl over 2 h. The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 1 h and treated with 300 mL of saturated aqueous ammonium chloride. The ethereal phase was separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted twice with 200 mL portions of ether. The ethereal phases were combined, dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated in vacuo. Fractional distillation of the residue yielded 20.1 g (61%) of dimethyldiphenyltin; bp 98-100 °C (0.07 Torr) [lit.¹³ bp 127-140 °C (0.3 Torr)]; ¹H-NMR (CH₂Cl₂ reference) δ 0.68 (s, 6H), 7.24-7.78 (m, 10H).

~~Dimethylphenyltin bromide~~. A solution of 20.1 g (0.66 mol) of dimethyldiphenyltin in 250 mL of toluene was cooled to -75 °C. A solution of 10.6 g (0.66 mol) of bromine in 100 mL of CH₂Cl₂ was added over 2 h. The mixture was warmed to room temperature and concentrated in vacuo. Fractional distillation of the residue yielded 13.7 g (68%) of dimethylphenyltin bromide; bp 65 °C (0.05 Torr); ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, CH₂Cl₂ reference) δ 0.98 (s, 6H), 7.11-7.65 (m, 5H).

~~1,13-Dichloro-5,5,9,9-tetramethyl-5,9-distanno-tridecane (13, n = 3).~~
1-(4-Chlorobutyl)magnesium bromide was prepared by the procedure of Noël, Combret, Leroux, and Normant.¹⁴ To a cooled (-15 to -8 °C) suspension of 1.0 g (41.5 mg-atom) of magnesium shavings 10 mL of THF was added over a period of 1 h a solution of 7.1 g (41.5 mmol) of 1-bromo-4-chlorobutane in 75 mL of THF. The solution was stirred (ca. -10 °C) until all of the magnesium was consumed (2-3 h). A solution of 9.5 g (19.0 mmol) of 1,3-bis(dimethylbromostannyl)propane in 50 mL of THF was added over 0.75 h. The reaction was warmed to room temperature and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was diluted with 100 mL of water, and the mixture was extracted three times with 100 mL portions of ether. The ethereal phases were combined, dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated in vacuo to yield 8.8 g (89%) of crude product; ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃, CHCl₃ reference) δ 0.05 (s, 12H), 0.68-1.15 (m, 8H), 1.15-1.45 (m, 2H), 1.45-2.08 (m, 8H), 3.38-3.72 (t, 4H, J = 6.5Hz).

~~1,14-Dibromo-5,5,10,10-tetramethyl-5,10-distannatetradecane (13, n = 4).~~
A. A solution of 4.0 g (7.8 mmol) of 1,4-bis(dimethylbromostannyl)butane in 20 mL of THF was added dropwise over 1 h to a cool (-15-0 °C) suspension of 0.2 g (29 mg-atom) of lithium wire in 50 mL of THF. The green mixture was stirred for 2 h, and a 0.5 mL aliquot was titrated for total base (found 0.10 M, calculated 0.11 M). The solution was transferred by cannula to a jacketed pressure equalizing funnel maintained at -78 °C. The solution was added dropwise over 0.5 h to an excess of 25.0 g (115.7 mmol) of 1,4-dibromobutane which was at room temperature. The reaction was stirred for 15 h after which 100 mL of water were added. The ethereal phase was separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted twice with 100 mL portions of solvent ether. The ethereal phases were combined, dried (MgSO₄), and

concentrated in vacuo. Fractional distillation removed the excess 1,4-di-bromobutane, and the crude product was submitted to silica gel chromatography (1" x 48" column, and hexane elution) to yield 3.0 g (66%) of 13 ($n = 4$); $^1\text{H-NMR}$ (CDCl_3 , CHCl_3 reference) δ -0.2 (s, 12H), 0.35-0.85 (m, 8H), 0.85-2.08 (m, 12H), 3.35 (t, 4H, $J = 7\text{Hz}$); osmometric MW: found 545, calculated 537.

B. 1-Bromo-4-chlorobutane (3.3 g, 19.5 mmol) in 30 mL of THF was added dropwise over 1.5 h to a cooled (-15°C) suspension of 0.47 g (19.5 mg-atom) of magnesium shavings in 30 mL of THF. The mixture was stirred at -15°C until all of the magnesium was consumed (5 h), and a solution of 4.4 g (8.6 mmol) of 1,4-bis(bromodimethylstannyl)butane was added over 0.25 h. The mixture was warmed to room temperature, stirred for 11 h, and heated at reflux for 1 h. The mixture was treated with 75 mL of saturated aqueous ammonium chloride, the ethereal phase was separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted twice with 50 mL of ether. The ethereal phases were combined, dried (MgSO_4), and concentrated in vacuo. Fractional distillation of the crude product yielded 3 g (67%) of 13 ($n = 4$); bp 165°C (0.05 Torr).

~~1,15-Dichloro-5,5,11,11-tetramethyl-5,11-distannapentadecane~~ (13 , $n = 5$).
A solution of 7.0 g (41.1 mmol) of 1-bromo-4-chlorobutane in 75 mL of THF was added dropwise over 1 h to a cooled (-10°C) suspension of 1.0 g (41.1 mg-atom) of magnesium shavings in 75 mL of THF. The mixture was stirred at -10°C until all the magnesium was consumed (1 h) and a solution of 9.0 g (17.0 mmol) of 1,5-bis(bromodimethylstannyl)pentane in 50 mL of THF was added dropwise over 0.5 h. The mixture was warmed to room temperature and treated with 50 mL of water. The phases were separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with three 100 mL portions of ether. The

ethereal phases were combined, dried (MgSO_4), and concentrated in vacuo to yield 9.6 g (102%) of crude product. Purification by high pressure liquid chromatography (Bio-beads SX-8 column) yielded 9.4 g (100%) of product 13 ($n = 5$); $^1\text{H-NMR}$ (CDCl_3 , CHCl_3 reference) δ 0.05 (s, 12H), 0.58-1.02 (m, 8H), 1.02-1.92 (m, 14H), 3.35-3.72 (t, 4H, $J = 6.5\text{Hz}$).

~~1,16-Diphenyl-1,1,6,6,11,11,16,16-octamethyl-1,6,11,16-tetrastanna-~~
~~hexadecane (16, $n = 4$).~~ Dimethylphenyltin bromide, 14.2 g (46.4 mmol), in 100 mL of THF was added dropwise over 2 h to a cooled (0°C) suspension of 6.0 g (864.0 mg-atom) of lithium wire in 200 mL of THF. The mixture was stirred at 0°C for 2 h, and a 0.5 mL aliquot was titrated for total base (found 0.756 M, calculated 0.154 M). The green solution was transferred by cannula to a flask maintained at 0°C , and a solution of 12.4 g (23.1 mmol) of 1,14-dichloro-5,5,10,10-tetramethyl-5,10-distannatetradecane in 50 mL of THF was added over 0.5 h. The mixture was stirred at 0°C for 1 h, warmed to room temperature, and treated with 75 mL of water. The ethereal phase was separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with three 100 mL portions of ether. The ethereal phases were combined, dried (MgSO_4), and concentrated in vacuo to yield 22 g of crude product. The crude product was distilled to remove low boiling impurities and then purified by high pressure liquid chromatography (Bio-beads SX-8 column) to yield 11.6 g (55%) of 16 ($n = 4$); $^1\text{H-NMR}$ (CH_2Cl_2 reference) δ 0.11 (s, 12H), 0.38 (s, 12H), 0.71-1.11 (m, 8H), 1.11-1.88 (m, 12H), 7.14-7.64 (m, 10H); osmometric MW: found 850, calculated 918.

~~1,16-Dibromo-1,1,6,6,11,11,16,16-octamethyl-1,6,11,16-tetrastanna-~~
~~hexadecane (17).~~ A solution of 6.6 g (41.1 mmol) of bromine in 50 mL of CH_2Cl_2 was added dropwise over 1 h to a cooled (-78°C) solution of 19.0 g (20.7 mmol) of 1,16-diphenyl-1,1,6,6,11,11,16,16-octamethyl-1,6,11,16-tetrastannahexadecane. The solution was warmed to room temperature and

the solvent was removed in vacuo. The crude product was purified by high pressure liquid chromatography (Bio-beads SX-8 column) to yield 19.3 g (100%) of 17; $^1\text{H-NMR}$ (CH_2Cl_2 reference) δ 0.06 (s, 12H), 0.73 (s, 12H), 0.78-1.04 (m, 12H), 1.04-1.91 (m, 12H); osmometric MW: found 890, calculated 923.

~~Attempted synthesis of 1,1,6,6,11,11,16,16-octamethyl-1,6,11,16-tetrastannacycloeicosane.~~ A. A solution of 4.6 g (7.4 mmol) of 1,14-dibromo-5,5,10,10-tetramethyl-5,10-distannatetradecane in 30 mL of THF was added dropwise over 1.75 h to 2.2 g (90.4 mg-atom) of magnesium shavings in 25 mL of THF. The suspension was stirred for 16 h at room temperature and transferred by cannula to a flask containing 230 mL of THF. A solution of 3.78 g (7.4 mmol) of 1,4-bis(bromodimethylstannyl)butane in 220 mL of THF was added dropwise over 8 h and the mixture was heated at reflux for 1 h. The reaction was stirred overnight and then treated with 100 mL of water. The ethereal phase was separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with three 75 mL portions of ether. The ethereal phases were combined, dried (MgSO_4), and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was submitted to high pressure liquid chromatography (Bio-beads column) and 1.1 g (18%) of product was isolated. Analysis of the product was by $^1\text{H-NMR}$ spectroscopy. Further characterization of the product was not accomplished; $^1\text{H-NMR}$ (CDCl_3 , CH_2Cl_2 reference) δ 0.07 (s, 24H), 0.60-1.13 (m, 16H), 1.13-1.83 (m, 16H).

B. A solution of 9.0 g (41.8 mmol) of 1,4-dibromobutane in 50 mL of THF was added dropwise over 5 h to a suspension of 4.5 g (180.0 mg-atom) of magnesium shavings in 200 mL of THF. The mixture was stirred overnight, and 175 mL of the Grignard reagent was transferred by cannula to a round-bottomed flask fitted with a pressure equalizing funnel and a condenser.

The Grignard reagent was diluted with 300 mL of THF and a solution of 10.0 g (19.4 mmol) of 1,4-bis(dimethylbromostannyl)butane in 250 mL of THF was added dropwise over 8 h. The solution was heated at reflux for 1 h and cooled to room temperature. The solution was hydrolyzed with 200 mL of saturated aqueous ammonium chloride, and the ethereal phase was separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with three 50 mL portions of ether. The ethereal phases were combined, dried (MgSO_4), and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product (10.5 g) was submitted to HPLC chromatography (Bio-beads SX-12 column) and a mixture of products were isolated. Further attempts to purify the product were unsuccessful.

C. A solution of 5.0 g (5.4 mmol) of 1,16-dibromo-1,1,6,6,11,11,16,16-octamethyl-1,6,11,16-tetrastannaheptadecane in 250 mL of THF was added dropwise over 7 h to a stirring solution of 18 mL of 0.35 M di^iC Grignard from 1,4-dibromobutane which was diluted with 500 mL of THF. The mixture was heated at reflux for 10 h and then stirred at room temperature for 2 h. The mixture was treated with 100 mL of saturated aqueous ammonium chloride, and the ethereal phase was separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with three 100 mL portions of ether. The ethereal phases were combined, dried (MgSO_4), and concentrated in vacuo. Purification on high pressure liquid chromatography (Bio-beads SX-12 column) yielded 2.5 g of product.

D. A suspension of 1.0 g (144.0 g-atom) of lithium wire in 100 mL of THF was cooled to 0 °C, and a solution of 3.5 g (6.8 mmol) of 1,4-bis-(bromodimethylstannyl)butane in 100 mL of THF was added dropwise over 1.5 h. The reaction was stirred at 0 °C for 2 h, and a 2 mL aliquot was titrated for total base (found 0.03 M, calculated 0.03 M). The green solution was transferred by cannula to a flask maintained at -78 °C and a solution of 2.9 g (5.4 mmol) of 1,14-dichloro-5,5,10,10-tetramethyl-5,10-distannatetradecane in 250 mL of THF was added over 3 h. The solution

was stirred at -78°C for 1.5 h, warmed to room temperature, stirred for 3 h, and then treated with 100 mL of saturated aqueous ammonium chloride. The ethereal phase was separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted twice with 50 mL portions of ether. The ethereal extracts were combined, dried (MgSO_4), and concentrated in vacuo. Attempted purification of the crude product by high pressure liquid chromatography (Bio-beads SX-8 column) was unsuccessful.

References

- (1) (a) J. Bulten and H. A. Budding, J. Organomet. Chem., 110, 167 (1976);
(b) C. Tamborski, F. Ford, and E. J. Soloski, J. Org. Chem., 28, 181 (1963).
- (2) M. C. Henry and J. G. Noltes, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 82, 558 (1960).
- (3) J. G. M. van der Kerk and J. G. Noltes, J. Appl. Chem., 9, 106 (1959).
- (4) W. C. Still, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 100, 1481 (1978).
- (5) S. D. Rosenberg, E. Debreezeni, and E. L. Weinberg, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 81, 972 (1959).
- (6) E. Krause, Chem. Ber., 51, 912 (1918).
- (7) L. F. Fieser and M. Fieser, "Reagents for Organic Synthesis," Vol. 1, Wiley, New York, 1967, p 855.
- (8) H. G. Kuivila and O. F. Beumel, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 83, 1246 (1961).
- (9) H. Schumann, P. Jutz, A. Roth, P. Schwabe, and E. Schauer, J. Organomet. Chem., 10, 71 (1967).
- (10) B. Kushlefsky, I. Simmons, and A. Ross, Inorg. Chem., 2, 187 (1963).
- (11) W. F. Edgell and C. H. Ward, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 76, 1169 (1954).
- (12) C. A. Kraus and A. M. Neal, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 51, 2403 (1929).
- (13) R. H. Bullard and W. R. Robinson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 49, 1368 (1927).
- (14) M. Nöel, J. Combret, Y. Leroux, and J. Normant, C. R. Acad. Sci., Ser. B, 268, 1152 (1969).

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, GEN

	<u>No.</u> <u>Copies</u>		<u>No.</u> <u>Copies</u>
Office of Naval Research Attn: Code 472 800 North Quincy Street Arlington, Virginia 22217	2	U.S. Army Research Office Attn: CRD-AA-IP P.O. Box 1211 Research Triangle Park, N.C. 27709	1
ONR Branch Office Attn: Dr. George Sandoz 536 S. Clark Street Chicago, Illinois 60605	1	Naval Ocean Systems Center Attn: Mr. Joe McCartney San Diego, California 92152	1
ONR Branch Office Attn: Scientific Dept. 715 Broadway New York, New York 10003	1	Naval Weapons Center Attn: Dr. A. B. Amster, Chemistry Division China Lake, California 93555	1
ONR Branch Office 1030 East Green Street Pasadena, California 91106	1	Naval Civil Engineering Laboratory Attn: Dr. R. W. Drisko Port Hueneme, California 93401	1
ONR Branch Office Attn: Dr. L. H. Peebles Building 114, Section D 666 Summer Street Boston, Massachusetts 02210	1	Department of Physics & Chemistry Naval Postgraduate School Monterey, California 93940	1
Director, Naval Research Laboratory Attn: Code 6100 Washington, D.C. 20390	1	Dr. A. L. Slafkosky Scientific Advisor Commandant of the Marine Corps (Code RD-1) Washington, D.C. 20380	1
The Assistant Secretary of the Navy (R,E&S) Department of the Navy Room 4E736, Pentagon Washington, D.C. 20350	1	Office of Naval Research Attn: Dr. Richard S. Miller 800 N. Quincy Street Arlington, Virginia 22217	1
Commander, Naval Air Systems Command Attn: Code 310C (H. Rosenwasser) Department of the Navy Washington, D.C. 20360	1	Naval Ship Research and Development Center Attn: Dr. G. Bosmajian, Applied Chemistry Division Annapolis, Maryland 21401	1
Defense Documentation Center Building 5, Cameron Station Alexandria, Virginia 22314	12	Naval Ocean Systems Center Attn: Dr. S. Yamamoto, Marine Sciences Division San Diego, California 91232	1
Dr. Fred Saalfeld Chemistry Division Naval Research Laboratory Washington, D.C. 20375	1	Mr. John Boyle Materials Branch Naval Ship Engineering Center Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19112	1

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, GENNo.
Copies

Dr. Rudolph J. Marcus
Office of Naval Research
Scientific Liaison Group
American Embassy
APO San Francisco 96503

1

Mr. James Kelley
DTNSRDC Code 2803
Annapolis, Maryland 21402

1

TECHNICAL REPORT DISTRIBUTION LIST, 053

	<u>No. Copies</u>		<u>No. Copies</u>
Dr. R. N. Grimes University of Virginia Department of Chemistry Charlottesville, Virginia 22901	1	Dr. M. H. Chisholm, Department of Chemistry Indiana University Bloomington, Indiana 47401	1
Dr. M. Tsutsui Texas A&M University Department of Chemistry College Station, Texas 77843	1	Dr. B. Foxman Brandeis University Department of Chemistry Waltham, Massachusetts 02154	1
Dr. M. F. Hawthorne University of California Department of Chemistry Los Angeles, California 90024	1	Dr. T. Marks Northwestern University Department of Chemistry Evanston, Illinois 60201	1
Dr. D. B. Brown University of Vermont Department of Chemistry Burlington, Vermont 05401	1	Dr. G. Geoffrey Pennsylvania State University Department of Chemistry University Park, Pennsylvania 16802	1
Dr. W. B. Fox Naval Research Laboratory Chemistry Division Code 6130 Washington, D.C. 20375	1	Dr. J. Zuckerman University of Oklahoma Department of Chemistry Norman, Oklahoma 73019	1
Dr. J. Adcock University of Tennessee Department of Chemistry Knoxville, Tennessee 37916	1	Professor O. T. Beachley Department of Chemistry State University of New York Buffalo, New York 14214	1
Dr. A. Cowley University of Texas Department of Chemistry Austin, Texas 78712	1	Professor P. S. Skell Department of Chemistry The Pennsylvania State University University Park, Pennsylvania 16802	1
Dr. W. Hatfield University of North Carolina Department of Chemistry Chapel Hill, North Carolina 27514	1	Professor K. M. Nicholas Department of Chemistry Boston College Chestnut Hill, Massachusetts 02167	1
Dr. D. Seyferth Massachusetts Institute of Technology Department of Chemistry Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139	1	Professor R. Neilson Department of Chemistry Texas Christian University Fort Worth, Texas 76129	1
Professor H. Abrahamson University of Oklahoma Department of Chemistry Norman, Oklahoma 73019	1	Professor M. Newcomb Texas A&M University Department of Chemistry College Station, Texas 77843	1